

# Global existence for strong solutions of viscous Burgers equation. (1) The bounded case

Jérémy Unterberger<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institut Elie Cartan,<sup>1</sup> Université de Lorraine,  
B.P. 239, F – 54506 Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy Cedex, France  
jeremie.unterberger@univ-lorraine.fr

We prove that the viscous Burgers equation  $(\partial_t - \Delta)u(t, x) + (u \cdot \nabla)u(t, x) = g(t, x)$ ,  $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$  ( $d \geq 1$ ) has a globally defined smooth solution in all dimensions provided the initial condition and the forcing term  $g$  are smooth and bounded together with their derivatives. Such solutions may have infinite energy. The proof does not rely on energy estimates, but on a combination of the maximum principle and quantitative Schauder estimates. We obtain precise bounds on the sup norm of the solution and its derivatives, making it plain that there is no exponential increase in time. In particular, these bounds are time-independent if  $g$  is zero. To get a classical solution, it suffices to assume that the initial condition and the forcing term have bounded derivatives up to order two.

**Keywords:** viscous Burgers equation, conservation laws, maximum principle, Schauder estimates.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 35A01, 35B45, 35B50, 35K15, 35Q30, 35Q35, 35L65, 76N10.

---

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire associé au CNRS UMR 7502

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction and scheme of proof</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Introduction . . . . .	2
1.2	Scheme of proof . . . . .	6
<b>2</b>	<b>Initial estimates</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Proof of main theorem</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Hölder estimates</b>	<b>15</b>

## 1 Introduction and scheme of proof

### 1.1 Introduction

The  $(1 + d)$ -dimensional viscous Burgers equation is the following non-linear PDE,

$$(\partial_t - \nu \Delta + u \cdot \nabla)u = g, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0 \quad (1.1)$$

for a velocity  $u = u(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  ( $d \geq 1$ ),  $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$ , where  $\nu > 0$  is a viscosity coefficient,  $\Delta$  the standard Laplacian on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $u \cdot \nabla u = \sum_{i=1}^d u_i \partial_{x_i} u$  the convection term, and  $g$  a continuous forcing term. Among other things, this fluid equation describes the hydrodynamical limit of interacting particle systems [10, 7], is a simplified version without pressure of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation, and also (assuming  $g$  to be random) an interesting toy model for the study of turbulence [1]. The present study is purely mathematical: we show under the following set of assumptions on  $u_0$  and  $g$  that the Cauchy problem

$$(\partial_t - \nu \Delta + u \cdot \nabla)u = g, u|_{t=0} = u_0 \quad (1.2)$$

has a unique, globally defined, classical solution in  $C^{1,2}$  (i.e. continuously differentiable in the time coordinate and twice continuously differentiable in the space coordinates), and provide explicit bounds for the supremum of  $u$  and its derivatives up to second order.

#### Assumptions.

- (i) (initial condition)  $u_0 \in C^2$  and  $\nabla^2 u_0$  is  $\alpha$ -Hölder for every  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ; for  $\kappa = 0, 1, 2$ ,  $\|\nabla^\kappa u_0\|_\infty := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla^\kappa u_0(x)| < \infty$ ;
- (ii) (forcing term) on every subset  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $T > 0$  finite,  $g$  is bounded and  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous for every  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ; furthermore,  $g$  is  $C^{1,2}$  and  $t \mapsto \|\nabla^\kappa g_t\|_\infty := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla^\kappa g_t(x)|$ ,  $t \mapsto \|\partial_t g_t\|_\infty := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\partial_t g_t(x)|$  are locally integrable in time.

For convenience we redefine  $\tilde{t} = \nu t$ ,  $\tilde{u} = \nu^{-1} u$ ,  $\tilde{g} = \nu^{-2} g$ . The rescaled equation,  $(\partial_{\tilde{t}} - \Delta - \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla)\tilde{u} = \tilde{g}$ , has viscosity 1. We skip the tilde in the sequel. Our bounds blow up in the vanishing viscosity limit  $\nu \rightarrow 0$  (see Remarks after Theorem 1.1 for a precise statement).

Our approach is the following. We solve inductively the linear transport equations,

$$u^{(-1)} := 0; \quad (1.3)$$

$$(\partial_t - \Delta + u^{(m-1)} \cdot \nabla) u^{(m)} = g, \quad u^{(m)}|_{t=0} = u_0 \quad (m \geq 0). \quad (1.4)$$

If the sequence  $(u^{(m)})_m$  converges in appropriate norms, then the limit is a fixed point of (1.4), hence solves the Burgers equation. Let  $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$  denotes either the isotropic Hölder semi-norm on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\|u_0\|_\alpha := \sup_{x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|u_0(x) - u_0(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha}$ , or the parabolic Hölder semi-norm on  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\|g\|_\alpha := \sup_{(s,x),(t,y) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|g(s,x) - g(t,y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha + |t - s|^{\alpha/2}}$  (see section 4 for more on Hölder norms).

**Definition 1.1** *Let, for  $c > 0$ ,*

$$K_0(t) := \|u_0\|_\infty + \int_0^t ds \|g_s\|_\infty \quad (1.5)$$

$$K_1(t) := \|\nabla u_0\|_\infty + \int_0^t ds \|\nabla g_s\|_\infty \quad (1.6)$$

$$K_2(t) := \|\nabla^2 u_0\|_\infty + \|u_0\|_\infty \|\nabla u_0\|_\infty + \|g_0\|_\infty + \int_0^t ds (\|\nabla^2 g_s\|_\infty + \|\partial_s g_s\|_\infty) \quad (1.7)$$

$$K_{2+\alpha}(t) := \|\nabla^2 u_0\|_\alpha + \|g_s\|_{\alpha, [0,t] \times \mathbb{R}^d}, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1) \quad (1.8)$$

and

$$K(t) := c^2 \left( K_0(t)^2 + K_1(t) + K_2(t)^{2/3} + K_{2+\alpha}(t)^{2/(3+\alpha)} \right). \quad (1.9)$$

Note that  $K_0(t), K_1(t), K_2(t), K_{2+\alpha}(t), K(t) < \infty$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  under the above Assumptions.

Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 1.1** *For every  $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , there exists an absolute constant  $c = c(d, \beta) \geq 1$ , depending only on the dimension and on the exponent  $\beta$ , such that the following holds.*

(i) *(uniform estimates)*

$$\|u_t^{(m)}\|_\infty \leq K_0(t), \quad \|\nabla u_t^{(m)}\|_\infty \leq K(t); \quad \|\partial_t u_t^{(m)}\|_\infty, \|\nabla^2 u_t^{(m)}\|_\infty \leq (cK(t))^{3/2} \quad (1.10)$$

(ii) *(short-time estimates) define  $v^{(m)} := u^{(m)} - u^{(m-1)}$  for  $m \geq 1$ . If  $0 \leq t \leq T$  and  $t \leq m/cK(T)$ , then*

$$\|v_t^{(m)}\|_\infty \leq cK_0(T)(cK(T)t/m)^m, \quad \|\nabla v_t^{(m)}\|_\infty \leq cK(T)(cK(T)t/m)^{\beta m}. \quad (1.11)$$

Let us comment on these estimates.

1. The different powers in the expression of  $K(t)$  come from the dimension counting dictated by the Burgers equation: the diffusion term  $\Delta u$ , the convection term  $u \cdot \nabla u$  and the forcing  $g$  are homogeneous if  $u$  scales like  $L^{-1}$ , where  $L$  is a reference space scale, and  $g$  like  $(LT)^{-1}$ , where  $T$  is a reference time scale. Assuming parabolic scaling,  $K^{-1}(t)$  scales like time and plays the rôle of a reference time scale  $T(t)$  at time  $t$ , leading to a time-dependent space scale  $L = L(t) \sim K^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t)$ . The scaling of the other  $K$ -parameters is  $K_0 \sim T^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ ;  $K_1, K \sim T^{-1}$ ;  $K_2 \sim T^{-3/2}$ ;  $K_{2+\alpha} \sim T^{-(3+\alpha)/2}$ .

2. The first uniform estimate

$$\|u_t^{(m)}\|_\infty \leq K_0(t) \quad (1.12)$$

follows from a straightforward application of the maximum principle to the transport equation (1.4).

3. (uniform estimates for the gradient). The function  $u^{(0)}$  satisfies the linear heat equation  $(\partial_t - \Delta)u^{(0)} = g$ , whose explicit solution is  $u^{(0)}(t) = e^{t\Delta}u_0 + \int_0^t ds e^{(t-s)\Delta}g_s$ . Thus

$$\|\nabla u_t^{(0)}\|_\infty \leq \|\nabla u_0\|_\infty + \int_0^t ds \|\nabla g_s\|_\infty = K_1(t). \quad (1.13)$$

Clearly  $K_1(t) \leq K(t)$ . Estimates for further iterates  $u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}, \dots$  involve  $K(t)$  instead of  $K_1(t)$ .

4. Fix a time horizon  $T > 0$  and consider the series  $S(t) := \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} v_t^{(m)} = \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} (u_t^{(m)} - u_t^{(m-1)})$  for  $t \leq T$  (note that, by definition,  $v^{(0)} := u^{(0)} - u^{(-1)} = u^{(0)}$ ). The short-time estimates (1.11) imply that  $S(t)$  is absolutely convergent. More precisely, letting  $m_0 := \lfloor cK(T)t \rfloor$  and  $\gamma := 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_t^{(n)}\|_\infty &= \left\| \sum_{m=0}^n (u_t^{(m)} - u_t^{(m-1)}) \right\|_\infty \leq \|u_t^{(m_0)}\|_\infty + \sum_{m=m_0+1}^{+\infty} \|v_t^{(m)}\|_\infty \\ &\leq K_0(T) \left\{ 1 + c \sum_{m=m_0+1}^{+\infty} (cK(T)t/m)^{\gamma m} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

for all  $n \geq m_0$ . Let  $m > m_0$  and  $x = 1 - cK(T)t/m \in [0, 1]$ : using  $1 - x \leq e^{-x}$ , one gets  $(cK(T)t/m)^{\gamma m} = (1 - x)^{\gamma m} \leq e^{\gamma cK(T)t} e^{-\gamma m}$  and

$$\sum_{m=m_0+1}^{+\infty} (cK(T)t/m)^{\gamma m} \leq e^{\gamma cK(T)t} \sum_{m=m_0+1}^{+\infty} e^{-\gamma m} \leq e^\gamma / (e^\gamma - 1). \quad (1.15)$$

Hence  $\|u_t^{(n)}\|_\infty \lesssim K_0(T)$ . In a similar way, letting  $\gamma := \beta$  this time, one shows that

$$\|\nabla u_t^{(n)}\|_\infty = \left\| \sum_{m=0}^n (\nabla u_t^{(m)} - \nabla u_t^{(m-1)}) \right\|_\infty \lesssim K(T). \quad (1.16)$$

These estimates are best when  $t = T$ ; one then retrieves the uniform estimates (1.10) up to some constant.

5. (short-time estimates) Bounds (1.11) are of order  $O((Ct)^{\gamma m}/(m!)^\gamma)$ ,  $\gamma = 1$  or  $\beta$ , and obtained by  $m$  successive integrations. For linear equations, or equations with bounded, uniformly Lipschitz coefficients, successive integrations typically yield  $O((Ct)^m/m!)$ . The Burgers equation, on the other hand is strongly non-linear. While using precise Schauder estimates to obtain the gradient bound in (1.11), one stumbles into the condition  $\beta < \frac{1}{2}$  at the very end of section 3 which apparently cannot be improved.

6. (blow-up of the above estimates in the vanishing viscosity limit) Undoing the initial rescaling, we obtain  $\nu$ -dependent estimates,

$$\|u_t\|_\infty \leq K_0(t), \quad \|\nabla u_t\|_\infty \lesssim \nu^{-1} K(t), \quad \|\partial_t u_t\|_\infty \lesssim \nu^{-1} K(t)^{3/2}, \quad \|\nabla^2 u_t\|_\infty \lesssim \nu^{-2} K(t)^{3/2} \quad (1.17)$$

with  $K_0(t), K_1(t)$  as in (1.5), (1.6),  $K_2(t) := \nu \|\nabla^2 u_0\|_\infty + \|u_0\|_\infty \|\nabla u_0\|_\infty + \|g_0\|_\infty + \int_0^t ds (\nu \|\nabla^2 g_s\|_\infty + \|\partial_s g_s\|_\infty)$ ,  $K_{2+\alpha}(t) := \nu \|\nabla^2 u_0\|_\alpha + \sup_{\alpha \in [0, t]} \|g_s\|_\alpha$  and  $K(t) := K_0(t)^2 + \nu K_1(t) + (\nu K_2(t))^{2/3} + (\nu^{1+\alpha} K_{2+\alpha}(t))^{2/(3+\alpha)}$ . Thus the derivative bounds  $\|\nabla^\kappa u_t\|_\infty$ ,  $\kappa = 1, 2$  and  $\|\partial_t u\|_\infty$  blow up at different rates when  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ .

From the above theorem, one deduces easily that the solution of the Burgers equation is smooth on  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$  provided (i)  $u_0$  is smooth and its derivatives are bounded; (ii)  $g$  is smooth and its derivatives are bounded on  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$  for all  $T$ :

**Corollary 1.2** *Assume  $u_0$  and  $g$  are smooth, and  $\|\nabla^\kappa u_0\|_\infty < \infty$  ( $\kappa = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ),  $\|\partial_t^\mu \nabla^\kappa g_t\|_\infty < C(\mu, \kappa, T)$ ,  $\mu, \kappa = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  for every  $t \leq T$ . Then the Burgers equation (1.1) has a unique smooth solution  $u$  such that  $\|\partial_t^\mu \nabla^\kappa u_t\|_\infty < C'(\mu, \kappa, T)$  for every  $\mu, \kappa$  and  $t \leq T$ . In particular,  $C'(\mu, \kappa, t) = C'(\mu, \kappa)$  is uniform in time if  $g = 0$ .*

We do not prove this corollary, since it results from standard extension to higher-order derivatives of the initial estimates of section 2, and an equally standard iterated use of Schauder estimates to derivatives of Burgers equation.

Our results extend without any modification to nonlinearities of the type  $F(u) \cdot \nabla u$  with smooth matrix-valued coefficient  $F$  if  $F$  is sublinear, and even (with different scalings and exponents for the  $K$ -constants) to the case when  $F$  has polynomial growth at infinity.

Let us compare with the results available in the literature. The one-dimensional case  $d = 1$  or the irrotational  $d$ -dimensional case with  $g = \nabla f$  of gradient form, is exactly solvable through the Cole-Hopf transformation  $u = \nabla \log \phi$  which reduces it to a scalar, linear PDE  $\partial_t \phi = \nu \Delta \phi + f \phi$ ; note also that  $\log \phi$  is a solution of the KPZ (Kardar-Parisi-Zhang) equation. In that case the equation is immediately shown to be well-defined for every  $t > 0$  under our hypotheses, and estimates similar to ours are easily obtained; specifically in  $d = 1$ , an invariant measure is known to exist if  $g$  is e.g. a space-time white noise [3]. For periodic solutions on the torus in one dimension, the above results extend to the vanishing viscosity limit [5]. The reader may refer e.g. to [4] for a more extended bibliography.

So our result is mostly interesting for  $d \geq 2$ ; as mentioned above, our scheme of proof extends to more general non-linearities of the form  $F(u) \cdot \nabla u$ , for which the equation is not exactly solvable in general. In this setting, the classical result is that due to Kiselev and Ladyzhenskaja [8]. The authors consider solutions in Sobolev spaces and use repeatedly energy estimates. They work on a bounded domain  $\Omega$  with Dirichlet boundary conditions, but their results extend with minor modifications to the case  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$ . If  $u_0 \in \mathcal{H}^s$  with  $s > d/2$ , then  $\|u_0\|_\infty < \infty$  by Sobolev's imbedding theorem. Then the maximum principle gives  $\|u_t\|_\infty \leq \|u_0\|_\infty$  as long as the solution is classical; this key estimate allows one to bootstrap and get bounds for higher-order Sobolev spaces which increase exponentially in time, e.g.  $\|u_t\|_{H^1} = O(e^{c\|u_0\|_\infty^2 t})$ , as follows from the proof of Lemma 3 in [8]. Compared to these estimates, ours present two essential improvements: (i) we do not assume any decrease of the data at spatial infinity, so that they do not necessarily belong to Sobolev spaces; (ii) more importantly perhaps, our bounds do not increase exponentially in time; in the case the right-hand side  $g$  vanishes identically, they are even uniform in time,  $K_0(t), K(t) \leq C$  where  $C$  is a constant depending only on the initial condition.

## 1.2 Scheme of proof

Recall that we solve inductively the following linear transport equations, see (1.4),

$$u^{(-1)} := 0; \quad (1.18)$$

$$(\partial_t - \Delta + u^{(m-1)} \cdot \nabla) u^{(m)} = g, \quad u^{(m)}|_{t=0} = u_0 \quad (m \geq 0). \quad (1.19)$$

Under the first set of assumptions, standard results on linear equations show that  $u^{(m)}$ ,  $m \geq 0$  is  $C^{1,2}$ . Assume we manage to prove locally uniform convergence of  $u^{(m)}$ ,  $\nabla u^{(m)}$ ,  $\nabla^2 u^{(m)}$  when  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Then there exists  $u \in C^{1,2}$  such that locally uniformly  $u^{(m)} \rightarrow u$ ,  $\nabla u^{(m)} \rightarrow \nabla u$ ,  $\nabla^2 u^{(m)} \rightarrow \nabla^2 u$  and  $\partial_t u^{(m)} \rightarrow \partial_t u$ . Hence  $\partial_t u^{(m)} = \Delta u^{(m)} - u^{(m-1)} \cdot \nabla u^{(m)} + g$  converges locally uniformly to  $\Delta u - u \cdot \nabla u + g$ , and  $\partial_t u = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \partial_t u^{(m)} = \Delta u - u \cdot \nabla u + g$ . In other words, the limit  $u$  is a  $C^{1,2}$  solution of the Burgers equation.

The key point in our scheme is to prove locally uniform convergence of  $u^{(m)}$  and  $\nabla u^{(m)}$ , and to show uniform bounds in Hölder norms for second order derivatives  $\nabla^2 u^{(m)}$ ,  $\partial_t u^{(m)}$ ; a simple argument (see below) yields then the convergence of second order derivatives, allowing to apply the above elementary argument. The basic idea is to rewrite  $u$  as  $\sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} v^{(m)}$ , with  $v^{(m)} := u^{(m)} - u^{(m-1)}$ , and to show that the series is convergent, uniformly in space and locally uniformly in time.

In the sequel we fix a constant  $c \geq 1$  such that Theorem 1.1 holds and let

$$\bar{K}_0(t) := cK_0(t), \quad \bar{K}_1(t) := cK_1(t), \quad \bar{K}(t) := cK(t) \quad (1.20)$$

to simplify notations.

The proof relies on two main ingredients: *a priori estimates* coming from the maximum principle; and *Schauder estimates*. Schauder estimates are difficult to find in a precise form suitable for the kind of applications we have in view, so the reader will find in the appendix a precise version of these estimates, see Proposition 4.6, following a multi-scale proof introduced by X.-J. Wang. These imply in particular the following.

**Lemma 1.3** *Let  $0 \leq t \leq T$ . Then*

$$\|\partial_t u^{(m)}\|_{\alpha, [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d}, \|\nabla^2 u^{(m)}\|_{\alpha, [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} \leq \bar{K}(T)^{(3+\alpha)/2}. \quad (1.21)$$

Lemma 1.3 is proved in section 3, at the same time as Theorem 1.1.

We now use a classical result about Hölder spaces: let  $C^\alpha(Q)$ , with  $Q \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$  compact, be the Banach space of  $\alpha$ -Hölder functions on  $Q$  equipped with the norm  $\|u\|_\alpha := \|u\|_{\infty, Q} + \|u\|_{\alpha, Q}$ . Then the injection  $C^{\alpha'}(Q) \subset C^\alpha(Q)$  is compact for every  $\alpha' < \alpha$ . In particular, Lemma 1.3 implies the existence of a subsequence  $(u^{(n_m)})_m$  such that  $\nabla^2 u^{(n_m)} \rightarrow_{m \rightarrow \infty} v$  in  $C^{\alpha'}$ -norm. On the other hand, as discussed in Remark 4 above,  $u^{(m)} \rightarrow u$  and  $\nabla u^{(m)} \rightarrow \nabla u$  in the sup norm for some  $u \in C^{0,1}$ . Hence  $u$  is twice continuously differentiable in the space variables, and  $\nabla^2 u = v$ . Now every subsequence  $(\nabla^2 u^{(n'_m)})_m$  converges to the same limit,  $\nabla^2 u$ . Hence  $\nabla^2 u^{(n)} \rightarrow \nabla^2 u$  in  $C^{\alpha'}$ . In a similar way, one proves that  $u$  is continuously differentiable in the time variable, and  $\partial_t u = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \partial_t u^{(m)}$  in  $C^{\alpha'}$ . In particular,  $u \in C^{1,2}$ , and the arguments given at the very beginning of the present subsection show that  $u$  is a classical solution of the Burgers equation. Note that we may reach the same conclusion even if we do not know that the series  $\|\nabla u^{(m+1)} - \nabla u^{(m)}\|_{\infty, Q}$  converges. Actually the bound on

$\|\nabla u^{(m+1)} - \nabla u^{(m)}\|_{\infty, Q}$  is the trickiest one. We felt however it was one the most inexpected estimates we had obtained, and thus worth including.

*Notations.* For  $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  two positive functions on a set  $X$ , we write  $f(u) \lesssim g(u)$  if there exists a constant  $C = C(d)$  depending only on the dimension such that  $f(u) \leq Cg(u)$ . (If  $C$  depends on other parameters, notably on  $c$ , then we write explicitly the dependence on them, so that we make it clear that we do not get unwanted extra multiplicative factors  $O(c^m)$  in the formulas which would invalidate the proofs).

## 2 Initial estimates

Initial estimates are different in spirit from those of the next section since they cannot rely on Schauder estimates. Instead we use a Gronwall-type lemma based on the maximum principle.

**Lemma 2.1 (Gronwall lemma)** *Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ , resp.  $\bar{\phi} : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be the solution of the transport equation  $(\partial_t - \Delta + b \cdot \nabla - c)\phi = f$ , resp.  $(\partial_t - \Delta + \bar{b} \cdot \nabla - \bar{c})\bar{\phi} = \bar{f}$ , with same initial condition,  $\phi|_{t=0} = \bar{\phi}|_{t=0}$ ; the coefficients  $c = c(t, x)$ ,  $\bar{c} = \bar{c}(t, x) \in M_{d \times d}(\mathbb{R})$  are matrix-valued, and  $b, \bar{b}, c, \bar{c}$  are assumed to be bounded and continuous. Let  $v := \bar{\phi} - \phi$ . Then*

$$\|v_t\|_{\infty} \leq \int_0^t ds A(s, t) \|\bar{b}_s - b_s\|_{\infty} \|\nabla \phi_s\|_{\infty} + \int_0^t ds A(s, t) \|\bar{c}_s - c_s\|_{\infty} \|\phi_s\|_{\infty} + \int_0^t ds A(s, t) \|\bar{f}_s - f_s\|_{\infty}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  is the supremum over  $\mathbb{R}^d$  of the operator norm in  $M_{d \times d}(\mathbb{R})$ , and  $A(s, t) = \exp \int_s^t \|\bar{c}_r\|_{\infty} dr$ .

**Proof.** By subtracting the PDEs satisfied by  $\phi$  and  $\bar{\phi}$ , one gets

$$(\partial_t - \Delta + \bar{b} \cdot \nabla - \bar{c})v = -(\bar{b} - b) \cdot \nabla \phi + (\bar{f} - f) + (\bar{c} - c)\phi. \quad (2.2)$$

Hence the result by the maximum principle.  $\square$

**Definition 2.2** *Let  $t_{init} := \inf \{t > 0; t\bar{K}(t) = 1\}$ .*

By hypothesis,  $t_{init} > 0$ . If  $u_0 \equiv 0$  and  $g \equiv 0$ , then  $t_{init} = +\infty$  and the solution of Burgers' equation is simply 0. The case  $u_0 = \text{Cst}$ ,  $\nabla g = 0$  reduces to the previous one by the generalized Galilean transformation  $x \mapsto x + \int_0^t a(s)ds$ ,  $u \mapsto u - a$  with  $a(t) = u_0 + \int_0^t g_s ds$ . We henceforth exclude this trivial case, so that  $t_{init} \in (0, +\infty)$ .

**Theorem 2.1 (initial estimates)** *Let  $t \leq t_{init}$ . Then the following estimates hold:*

(i)

$$\|u_t^{(m)}\|_{\infty} \leq K_0(t_{init}), \quad \|\nabla u_t^{(m)}\|_{\infty} \leq K(t_{init}); \quad \|\partial_t u_t^{(m)}\|_{\infty}, \|\nabla^2 u_t^{(m)}\|_{\infty} \leq \bar{K}(t_{init})^{3/2}. \quad (2.3)$$

Furthermore,

$$\|\partial_t u_t^{(m)}\|_{\alpha}, \|\nabla^2 u_t^{(m)}\|_{\alpha} \leq C\bar{K}(t_{init})^{(3+\alpha)/2} \quad (2.4)$$

with  $C = C(d, \alpha)$ .

(ii) let  $m \geq 1$ , then

$$\|v_t^{(m)}\|_\infty \leq \bar{K}_0(t_{init})(\bar{K}(t_{init})t/m)^m, \quad \|\nabla v_t^{(m)}\|_\infty \leq \bar{K}(t_{init})(\bar{K}(t_{init})t/m)^m. \quad (2.5)$$

**Remarks.**

1. Let  $T \leq t_{init}$ , then (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) remain true for  $t \leq T$  if one replaces  $K_0(t_{init})$ ,  $\bar{K}_0(t_{init})$ ,  $K(t_{init})$ ,  $\bar{K}(t_{init})$  by  $K_0(T)$ ,  $\bar{K}_0(T)$ ,  $K(T)$ ,  $\bar{K}(T)$ . Hence Theorem 1.1 is proved for  $t \leq t_{init}$  (actually with  $\beta = 1$ ).
2. The value of  $t_{init}$  depends on the choice of  $c$ . We provide in the course of the proof a rather explicit minimal value of  $c$  for which (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) hold. Further estimates in the next section may require a larger value of  $c$ .
3. From Hölder interpolation estimates (see Lemma 4.2), one also has a bound for lower-order Hölder norms,

$$\|u^{(m)}\|_\alpha \lesssim K_0(t_{init})^{1-\alpha} \bar{K}(t_{init})^\alpha + K_0^{1-\alpha/2}(t_{init}) \bar{K}^{3\alpha/4}(t_{init}), \quad (2.6)$$

and, for fixed  $s \leq t_{init}$ ,

$$\|\nabla u_s^{(m)}\|_\alpha \lesssim K^{1-\alpha}(t_{init}) \bar{K}(t_{init})^{3\alpha/2}. \quad (2.7)$$

**Proof.** Let us abbreviate  $K_0(t_{init})$ ,  $\bar{K}_0(t_{init})$ ,  $K_1(t_{init})$ ,  $\bar{K}_1(t_{init})$ ,  $K(t_{init})$ ,  $\bar{K}(t_{init})$  to  $K_0$ ,  $\bar{K}_0$ ,  $K_1$ ,  $\bar{K}_1$ ,  $K$ ,  $\bar{K}$ .

- (i) We first prove estimates (i) by induction, assuming them to be proved for  $m - 1$ . Note first that (2.3) holds true for  $m = 0$  with  $c = 1$ , see eq. (1.13); as for (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^2 u_t^{(0)}\|_\gamma &\lesssim \|\nabla^2 u_0\|_\gamma + \int_0^t ds \|\nabla^2 e^{s\Delta} g_{t-s}\|_\gamma \\ &\leq K_2^{1-\gamma/\alpha}(t_{init}) K_{2+\alpha}^{\gamma/\alpha}(t_{init}) + t_{init}^{(\alpha-\gamma)/2} K_{2+\alpha}(t_{init}) \\ &\leq C(d, \alpha, \gamma) \bar{K}^{(3+\gamma)/2}, \quad \gamma < \alpha \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

as follows from Hölder interpolation inequalities (see Lemma 4.2) and Corollary 4.4. Time variations of  $\nabla^2 u_t^{(0)}$  scale similarly, yielding  $\|\nabla^2 u_t^{(0)}\|_{\gamma, [0, t_{init}] \times \mathbb{R}^d} \lesssim \bar{K}^{(3+\gamma)/2}$  (see Lemma 4.3, eq. (4.8), and Corollary 4.4). Note that similarly,  $\|\nabla u^{(0)}\|_{\gamma, [0, t_{init}] \times \mathbb{R}^d} \lesssim \bar{K}^{(2+\gamma)/2}$ . The estimate for  $\|u_t^{(m)}\|_\infty$  is a direct consequence of the maximum principle. Then  $\nabla u^{(m)}$  satisfies the gradient equation

$$(\partial_t - \Delta + u^{(m-1)} \cdot \nabla + \nabla u^{(m-1)}) \nabla u^{(m)} = \nabla g, \quad (2.9)$$

where  $\nabla u^{(m-1)}(t, x)$  is viewed as the  $d \times d$  matrix  $(\partial_j u_k(t, x))_{jk}$  acting on the vector  $(\partial_k u_i)_k$ . Note that

$$\|\nabla u^{(m-1)}(t, x)\| \leq \sqrt{\text{Tr}(\nabla u^{(m-1)}(t, x)(\nabla u^{(m-1)}(t, x))^*)} = |\nabla u^{(m-1)}(t, x)|. \quad (2.10)$$

By the maximum principle,

$$\|\nabla u_t^{(m)}\|_\infty \leq A(0, t) \|\nabla u_0\|_\infty + \int_0^t ds A(s, t) \|\nabla g_s\|_\infty, \quad (2.11)$$



where  $A(s, t) := \exp \int_s^t \|\nabla u_r^{(m-1)}\|_\infty dr$  is the exponential amplification factor of Lemma 2.1. By induction hypothesis and Definition 2.2,  $A(s, t) \leq A(0, t_{init}) \leq e^{t_{init}K} \leq e$ , hence (provided  $c^2 \geq e$ )

$$\|\nabla u_t^{(m)}\|_\infty \leq eK_1 \leq K. \quad (2.12)$$

To bound  $\nabla^2 u_t^{(m)}$  we differentiate once more,

$$(\partial_t - \Delta + u^{(m-1)} \cdot \nabla + \nabla u^{(m-1)}) \nabla^2 u^{(m)} = \nabla^2 g - \nabla^2 u^{(m-1)} \nabla u^{(m)}, \quad (2.13)$$

where  $\nabla u^{(m-1)}$  is viewed this time as the  $d^2 \times d^2$  matrix  $(\partial_{j'} u_k^{(m-1)} \delta_{k',j} + \partial_j u_k^{(m-1)} \delta_{k',j'})_{(jj'),(kk')}$  acting on the vector  $(\partial_{kk'}^2 u_i)_{kk'} \in \mathbb{R}^{d^2}$ , and has matrix norm  $\|\nabla u^{(m-1)}(t, x)\|_{M_{d^2 \times d^2}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_d \|\nabla u^{(m-1)}(t, x)\|$ , yielding an amplification factor  $\tilde{A}(s, t) := \exp \int_s^t \|\nabla u_r^{(m-1)}(t, x)\|_{M_{d^2 \times d^2}(\mathbb{R})} dr \leq C'_d$ . By the maximum principle,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^2 u_t^{(m)}\|_\infty &\leq C'_d \left( \|\nabla^2 u_0\|_\infty + \int_0^t ds \left( \|\nabla^2 g_s\|_\infty + \|\nabla^2 u_s^{(m-1)}\|_\infty \|\nabla u_s^{(m)}\|_\infty \right) \right) \\ &\leq C'_d \left( \|\nabla^2 u_0\|_\infty + \int_0^t ds \|\nabla^2 g_s\|_\infty + t_{init} \bar{K}^{3/2} K \right) \\ &\leq C'_d (K_2(t_{init}) + \bar{K}^{1/2} K) \leq C'_d (c^{-3} + c^{-1}) \bar{K}^{3/2} \leq \bar{K}^{3/2} \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

provided  $c \geq 2 \max(1, C'_d)$ .

Similarly,  $\partial_t u^{(m)}$  satisfies the transport equation

$$(\partial_t - \Delta + u^{(m-1)} \cdot \nabla) \partial_t u^{(m)} = \partial_t g - \partial_t u^{(m-1)} \cdot \nabla u^{(m)}, \quad (2.15)$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t u_t^{(m)}\|_\infty &\leq \|\nabla^2 u_0\|_\infty + \|u_0\|_\infty \|\nabla u_0\|_\infty + \|g_0\|_\infty + \int_0^t ds \|\partial_s g_s\|_\infty + t_{init} \bar{K}^{3/2} K \\ &\leq K_2(t_{init}) + \bar{K}^{1/2} K \leq (c^{-3} + c^{-1}) \bar{K}^{3/2} \leq \bar{K}^{3/2} \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

provided  $c \geq 2$ .

Finally, we must prove the Hölder estimate (2.4): for that, we use the integral representation

$$\nabla^2 u_t^{(m)} = \nabla^2 u_t^{(0)} - \int_0^t \nabla^2 e^{(t-s)\Delta} \left( (u_s^{(m-1)} \cdot \nabla) u_s^{(m)} \right) ds. \quad (2.17)$$

By Lemma 4.2, considering  $\alpha$ -Hölder norms on  $[0, t_{init}] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u_s^{(m-1)} \cdot \nabla) u_s^{(m)}\|_\gamma &\leq \|u_s^{(m-1)}\|_\infty \|\nabla u_s^{(m)}\|_\gamma + \|\nabla u_s^{(m)}\|_\infty \|u_s^{(m-1)}\|_\gamma \\ &\lesssim K_0 K^{1-\gamma} \bar{K}^{3\gamma/2} + K K_0^{1-\gamma} \bar{K}^\gamma \lesssim \bar{K}^{(3+\gamma)/2} \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Thus by Lemma 4.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^2 u_t^{(m)} - \nabla^2 u_{t'}^{(m)}\|_\infty &\lesssim \|\nabla^2 u_t^{(0)} - \nabla^2 u_{t'}^{(0)}\|_\infty + \int_{t'}^t (t-s)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} \|(u_s^{(m-1)} \cdot \nabla) u_s^{(m)}\|_\alpha ds \\ &\lesssim (t-t')^{\alpha/2} \bar{K}^{(3+\alpha)/2} \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

for  $t' < t$ , and (choosing any  $\gamma \in (\alpha, 1)$ )

$$\|\nabla^2 u_t^{(m)}\|_\alpha \lesssim \|\nabla^2 u_t^{(0)}\|_\alpha + C'(d, \alpha, \gamma) \bar{K}^{(3+\gamma)/2} \int_0^{t_{init}} (t-s)^{-1+(\gamma-\alpha)/2} ds \lesssim \bar{K}^{(3+\alpha)/2}, \quad (2.20)$$

hence the result for  $\|\nabla^2 u^{(m)}\|_\alpha$ . Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u_t^{(m)} - \nabla u_{t'}^{(m)}\|_\alpha &\lesssim \|\nabla u_t^{(0)} - \nabla u_{t'}^{(0)}\|_\alpha + \int_{t'}^t (t-s)^{(\alpha-1)/2} \|(u_s^{(m-1)} \cdot \nabla) u_s^{(m)}\|_\alpha ds \\ &\lesssim (t-t')^{\alpha/2} \bar{K}^{(2+\alpha)/2} + (t-t')^{(\alpha+1)/2} \bar{K}^{(3+\alpha)/2} \\ &\lesssim (t-t')^{\alpha/2} \bar{K}^{(2+\alpha)/2} + (t-t')^{\alpha/2} t_{init}^{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{K}^{(3+\alpha)/2} \lesssim (t-t')^{\alpha/2} \bar{K}^{(2+\alpha)/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

hence (using Hölder interpolation inequalities once more)  $\|\nabla u^{(m)}\|_\alpha \lesssim \bar{K}^{(2+\alpha)/2}$ . From the previous bounds follows immediately  $\|\partial_t u^{(m)}\|_\alpha \lesssim \|\nabla^2 u^{(m)}\|_\alpha + \|(u^{(m-1)} \cdot \nabla) u^{(m)}\|_\alpha \lesssim \bar{K}^{(3+\alpha)/2}$ .

- (ii) Apply Lemma 2.1 with  $\phi = \bar{b} = u^{(m-1)}$ ,  $b = u^{(m-2)}$ ,  $\bar{\phi} = u^{(m)}$ ,  $f = \bar{f} = g$  and  $c = \bar{c} = 0$ . It comes out

$$\|v_t^{(m)}\|_\infty \leq \int_0^t ds \|v_s^{(m-1)}\|_\infty \|\nabla u_s^{(m-1)}\|_\infty. \quad (2.22)$$

Thus, using the induction hypothesis,

$$\|v_t^{(m)}\|_\infty \leq \int_0^t ds \bar{K}_0 (\bar{K}s/(m-1))^{m-1} K \leq \bar{K}_0 (\bar{K}t/m)^m (1 - \frac{1}{m})^{-(m-1)} (K/\bar{K}) \leq \bar{K}_0 (\bar{K}t/m)^m, \quad m \geq 2 \quad (2.23)$$

for  $c$  large enough, and

$$\|v_t^{(1)}\|_\infty \leq \int_0^t ds \|u_s^{(0)}\|_\infty \|\nabla u_s^{(0)}\|_\infty \leq K_0 K t \leq \bar{K}_0 (\bar{K}t). \quad (2.24)$$

Consider now as in (i) the gradient of the transport equations of index  $m-1, m$ ,

$$(\partial_t - \Delta + u^{(n-1)} \cdot \nabla + \nabla u^{(n-1)}) \nabla u^{(n)} = \nabla g, \quad n = m-1, m \quad (2.25)$$

and apply Lemma 2.1 with  $\phi = \nabla u^{(m-1)}$ ,  $\bar{\phi} = \nabla u^{(m)}$ ,  $b = u^{(m-2)}$ ,  $\bar{b} = u^{(m-1)}$  and  $c = \nabla u^{(m-2)}$ ,  $\bar{c} = \nabla u^{(m-1)}$ . Using the induction hypothesis, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla v_t^{(m)}\|_\infty &\leq \int_0^t ds A(s, t) \|v_s^{(m-1)}\|_\infty \|\nabla^2 u_s^{(m-1)}\|_\infty + \int_0^t ds A(s, t) \|\nabla v_s^{(m-1)}\|_\infty \|\nabla u_s^{(m-1)}\|_\infty \\ &\leq e \int_0^t ds (\bar{K}_0 \bar{K}^{3/2} + \bar{K} K) (\bar{K}s/(m-1))^{m-1} \\ &\leq e (1 - \frac{1}{m})^{-(m-1)} (\bar{K}t/m)^m (\bar{K}_0 \bar{K}^{\frac{1}{2}} + K) \leq e (1 - \frac{1}{m})^{-(m-1)} (c^{-\frac{1}{2}} + c^{-1}) \bar{K} (\bar{K}t/m)^m \\ &\leq \bar{K} (\bar{K}t/m)^m, \quad m \geq 2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla v_t^{(1)}\|_\infty &\leq \int_0^t ds (\|u_s^{(0)}\|_\infty \|\nabla^2 u_s^{(0)}\|_\infty + \|\nabla u_s^{(0)}\|_\infty^2) \\ &\leq e (K_0 \bar{K}^{3/2} + K^2) t \leq \bar{K} (\bar{K}t) \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

for  $c$  large enough.

□

### 3 Proof of main theorem

By Remark 1 following Theorem 2.1, we may now restrict to times larger than  $t_{init}$ . We fix a time horizon  $T > t_{init}$  and distinguish two regimes: a *short-time regime*,  $t \leq m/\bar{K}(T)$ ; and a *long-time regime*,  $t > m/\bar{K}(T)$ . Clearly the short-time regime does not exist for  $m = 0$ ; as already noted before (see comments after Theorem 1.1), this case is trivial and estimates (1.10), proven in the course of Theorem 2.1 in the initial regime, extend without any modification to arbitrary time. So we assume henceforth that  $m \geq 1$ .

Theorem 1.1 follows immediately from an estimate for  $u^{(m)}, \nabla u^{(m)}$  valid over the whole region  $t \in [t_{init}, T]$  and another estimate for  $v^{(m)}, \nabla v^{(m)}$  valid only in the short-time regime. These are proved by induction.

**Theorem 3.1 (estimates for  $u^{(m)}$  and  $\nabla u^{(m)}$ )** *Let  $m \geq 1$  and  $t \in [t_{init}, T]$ . Then*

$$\|u_t^{(m)}\|_\infty \leq K_0(T), \|\nabla u_t^{(m)}\|_\infty \leq K(T); \quad \|\partial_t u_t^{(m)}\|_\infty, \|\nabla^2 u_t^{(m)}\|_\infty \leq \bar{K}(T)^{3/2}. \quad (3.1)$$

Furthermore,

$$\|\partial_t u_t^{(m)}\|_\alpha, \|\nabla^2 u_t^{(m)}\|_\alpha \lesssim \bar{K}(T)^{(3+\alpha)/2}. \quad (3.2)$$

**Proof.** As already noted, the inequality  $\|u_t^{(m)}\|_\infty \leq K_0(T)$  follows immediately from the maximum principle, so we consider only the bound for the gradient and higher-order derivatives in (3.1). We prove it by induction on  $m$ , assuming it to be true for  $m - 1$ . We abbreviate  $K_0(T), K(T), \bar{K}(T)$  to  $K_0, K, \bar{K}$ .

We apply Proposition 4.6 on the parabolic ball  $Q^{(j)} = [t - M^j, t] \times \bar{B}(x, M^{j/2})$ , with  $M^j := \frac{1}{2}\bar{K}(T)^{-1}$ . Note that, by definition,  $t - M^j \geq t_{init} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{K}(t_{init})^{-1} \geq \frac{1}{2}t_{init} > 0$ . We consider first the bound (4.17) for the gradient,

$$\|\nabla u^{(m)}\|_{\infty, Q^{(j-1)}} \lesssim R_b^{-1} \bar{K}^{-(\alpha+1)/2} \|g\|_{\alpha, Q^{(j)}} + R_b^{-1} K_0 \left( \bar{K}^{-(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})} R_b^{-1} \|u^{(m-1)}\|_{\alpha, Q^{(j)}}^2 + \bar{K}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right). \quad (3.3)$$

The multiplicative factor  $R_b^{-1}$  is bounded by  $1 + (2\bar{K})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u^{(m-1)}\|_{\infty, Q^{(j)}} \leq 1 + \bar{K}^{-\frac{1}{2}} K_0 \leq 2$ . On the other hand, by Hölder interpolation inequalities (see Lemma 4.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{(m-1)}\|_{\alpha, Q^{(j)}} &\lesssim K^\alpha K_0^{1-\alpha} + \bar{K}^{3\alpha/4} K_0^{1-\alpha/2} \\ &\leq (1 + c^{3\alpha/4} (K_0^2/K)^{\alpha/4}) K^\alpha K_0^{1-\alpha} \\ &\leq (1 + c^{\alpha/4}) K^\alpha K_0^{1-\alpha} \leq (1 + c^{\alpha/4}) c^{2\alpha-2} K^{(1+\alpha)/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u^{(m)}\|_{\infty, Q^{(j-1)}} &\lesssim \bar{K}^{-\alpha-1/2} K_{2+\alpha}(T) + K_0 \bar{K}^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot c^{\alpha/2} K^{2\alpha} K_0^{2-2\alpha} + \bar{K}^{\frac{1}{2}} K_0 \\ &\leq c^{-\alpha-1/2} K + c^{-(1+\alpha)/2} K^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} K_0^{3-2\alpha} + c^{-\frac{1}{2}} K \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

which is  $\leq K$  for  $c$  large enough.

Bounds for higher-order derivatives  $\|\partial_t u_t^{(m)}\|_\infty, \|\nabla^2 u_t^{(m)}\|_\infty$  follow from (4.19) instead, contributing an extra  $M^{-j/2} \approx \bar{K}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  multiplicative factor. They hold true for  $c$  large enough. Finally, (4.20)

yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_t u^{(m)}\|_{\alpha, Q^{(j-1)}}, \|\nabla^2 u^{(m)}\|_{\alpha, Q^{(j-1)}} &\lesssim \|g\|_{\alpha, Q^{(j)}} + K_0 \left( \|u^{(m-1)}\|_{\alpha, Q^{(j)}}^{(2+\alpha)/(1+\alpha)} + \bar{K}^{1+\alpha/2} \right) \\
&\lesssim K_{2+\alpha}(T) + K_0 \cdot c^{(\frac{\alpha}{4}+2\alpha-2)(2+\alpha)/(1+\alpha)} K^{1+\alpha/2} + c^{-1} \bar{K}^{(3+\alpha)/2} \\
&\lesssim \bar{K}^{(3+\alpha)/2},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

from which

$$\|\nabla^2 u^{(m)}\|_{\alpha, [t_{init}, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} \lesssim \sup_{(t,x) \in [t_{init}, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} \|\nabla^2 u^{(m)}\|_{\alpha, Q^{(j-1)}(t,x)} + M^{-j\alpha/2} \|\nabla^2 u^{(m)}\|_{\infty, [t_{init}, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} \lesssim \bar{K}^{(3+\alpha)/2}, \tag{3.7}$$

and similarly for  $\|\partial_t u^{(m)}\|_{\alpha, [t_{init}, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d}$ .

We take the opportunity to derive from (4.18) a bound for  $\|\nabla u^{(m)}\|_{\alpha, Q^{(j-1)}}$  (also valid for  $\|\nabla u^{(m)}\|_{\alpha, [t_{init}, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d}$ ) that will be helpful in the next theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla u^{(m)}\|_{\alpha, Q^{(j-1)}} &\lesssim \bar{K}^{-1/2} (1 + \bar{K}^{-(1+\alpha)/2} \|u^{(m-1)}\|_{\alpha, Q^{(j)}}) \|g\|_{\alpha} + \\
&\quad K_0 \bar{K}^{(1+\alpha)/2} \left( 1 + \bar{K}^{-(1+\alpha)/2} \|u^{(m-1)}\|_{\alpha, Q^{(j)}} + (\bar{K}^{-(1+\alpha)/2} \|u^{(m-1)}\|_{\alpha, Q^{(j)}})^3 \right) \\
&\lesssim \bar{K}^{1+\alpha/2}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

since (from (3.4))  $\|u^{(m-1)}\|_{\alpha, Q^{(j)}} \lesssim \bar{K}^{(1+\alpha)/2}$ .

□

**Theorem 3.2 (short-time estimates for  $v^{(m)}$  and  $\nabla v^{(m)}$ )** *Let  $m \geq 1$  and  $t \in [t_{init}, \min(T, m/\bar{K}(T))]$ . Then*

$$\|v_t^{(m)}\|_{\infty} \leq \bar{K}_0(T) (\bar{K}(T)t/m)^m, \quad \|\nabla v_t^{(m)}\|_{\infty} \leq \bar{K}(T) (\bar{K}(T)t/m)^{\beta m}. \tag{3.9}$$

**Proof.** We abbreviate as before  $K_0(T)$ ,  $\bar{K}_0(T)$ ,  $K(T)$ ,  $\bar{K}(T)$  to  $K_0$ ,  $\bar{K}_0$ ,  $K$ ,  $\bar{K}$  and prove simultaneously the bounds on  $\|v^{(m)}\|_{\infty}$  and  $\|\nabla v^{(m)}\|_{\infty}$ , assuming them to be true for  $m-1$ .

- (i) (bound for  $v_t^{(m)}$ ) As in the proof of Theorem 2.1 (ii), the case  $m=1$  is essentially trivial: namely, using Lemma 2.1, we have for  $t \leq \bar{K}^{-1}$

$$\|v_t^{(1)}\|_{\infty} \leq \int_0^t ds \|u_s^{(0)}\|_{\infty} \|\nabla u_s^{(0)}\|_{\infty} \leq K_0 K t \leq \bar{K}_0(\bar{K}t). \tag{3.10}$$

So we now restrict to  $m \geq 2$ .

Assume first  $t \leq (m-1)/\bar{K}$ , so that  $t$  is in the short-time regime for  $u^{(m-1)}$ . By Lemma 2.1 (see proof of Theorem 2.1 (ii)),

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v_t^{(m)}\|_{\infty} &\leq \int_0^t ds \|v_s^{(m-1)}\|_{\infty} \|\nabla u_s^{(m-1)}\|_{\infty} \\
&\leq \int_0^t ds \bar{K}_0(\bar{K}s/(m-1))^{m-1} K \leq (\bar{K}t/(m-1))^m \bar{K}_0(K/\bar{K}) \\
&\leq c^{-1} \bar{K}_0(\bar{K}t/(m-1))^m \leq \frac{1}{2} \bar{K}_0(\bar{K}t/m)^m
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

for  $c$  large enough.

For  $s, t \in [(m-1)/\bar{K}, m/\bar{K}]$ , one uses instead  $\|v_s^{(m-1)}\|_\infty \leq \|u_s^{(m-1)}\|_\infty + \|u_s^{(m-2)}\|_\infty \leq 2K_0$  and obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_t^{(m)}\|_\infty &\leq \int_0^{(m-1)/\bar{K}} ds \|v_s^{(m-1)}\|_\infty \|\nabla u_s^{(m-1)}\|_\infty + \int_{(m-1)/\bar{K}}^{m/\bar{K}} ds \|v_s^{(m-1)}\|_\infty \|\nabla u_s^{(m-1)}\|_\infty \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \bar{K}_0 (\bar{K}t/m)^m + \bar{K}^{-1} \cdot 2K_0 K \\ &\leq \bar{K}_0 (\bar{K}t/m)^m \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

for  $c$  large enough.

- (ii) (bound for  $\nabla v_t^{(m)}$ ) We start from the observation (see (2.2)) that  $v^{(m)}$  satisfies the transport equation  $(\partial_t - \Delta + u^{(m-1)} \cdot \nabla)(v^{(m)}) = -v^{(m-1)} \cdot \nabla u^{(m-1)}$  and apply Schauder estimates on  $Q^{(j)} = Q^{(j)}(t_0, x_0)$  as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, with  $M^j \approx \bar{K}(T)^{-1}$ ,  $b = u^{(m-1)}$  and  $f := v^{(m-1)} \cdot \nabla u^{(m-1)}$ . In the course of the proof of Theorem 3.1, and in (i), we obtained  $\|u^{(m-1)}\|_{\infty, Q^{(j)}} \leq K_0$  and

$$\|u^{(m-1)}\|_{\alpha, Q^{(j)}} \lesssim \bar{K}^{(1+\alpha)/2}, \quad \|v^{(m)}\|_{\infty, Q^{(j)}} \leq \bar{K}_0 (\bar{K}t/m)^m, \quad \|\nabla u^{(m-1)}\|_{\alpha, Q^{(j)}} \lesssim \bar{K}^{1+\alpha/2}. \quad (3.13)$$

Furthermore, from Hölder interpolation inequalities (see Lemma 4.2) and induction hypothesis,

$$\|v^{(m-1)}\|_{\alpha, Q^{(j)}} \lesssim \bar{K}_0^{1-\alpha} \bar{K}^\alpha (\bar{K}t/(m-1))^{\beta(m-1)}. \quad (3.14)$$

Hence (using once again the induction hypothesis)

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\alpha, Q^{(j)}} &\lesssim \|v^{(m-1)}\|_{\alpha, Q^{(j)}} \|\nabla u^{(m-1)}\|_{\infty, Q^{(j)}} + \|v^{(m-1)}\|_{\infty, Q^{(j)}} \|\nabla u^{(m-1)}\|_{\alpha, Q^{(j)}} \\ &\lesssim (\bar{K}t/(m-1))^{\beta(m-1)} (\bar{K}_0^{1-\alpha} \bar{K}^\alpha K + \bar{K}_0 \bar{K}^{1+\alpha/2}) \\ &\lesssim c^{-1} \bar{K}^{(3+\alpha)/2} (\bar{K}t/(m-1))^{\beta(m-1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

A priori we should now use the Schauder estimate (4.18) to bound  $\|\nabla v^{(m)}\|_{\alpha, Q^{(j-1)}}$ ; as in the proof of Theorem 3.1,  $R_b^{-1} \leq 2$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla v^{(m)}\|_{\infty, Q^{(j-1)}} &\lesssim \bar{K}^{-(1+\alpha)/2} \|f\|_\alpha + \bar{K}^{1/2} \bar{K}_0 \left(1 + (\bar{K}^{-1-\alpha/2} \|u^{(m-1)}\|_\alpha)^2\right) (\bar{K}t/m)^{\beta m} \\ &\lesssim \bar{K}^{-(1+\alpha)/2} \|f\|_\alpha + \bar{K}^{1/2} \bar{K}_0 (\bar{K}t/m)^{\beta m}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

The second term in (3.16) is bounded by  $c^{-1} \bar{K} (\bar{K}t/m)^{\beta m}$ , in agreement with the desired bound (3.9), but not the first one, which is bounded by  $c^{-1} \bar{K} (\bar{K}t/(m-1))^{\beta(m-1)}$ .

In order to get an integrated bound of order  $(\bar{K}t/m)^{\beta m}$  for the first term, we need a refinement of Proposition 4.6. Fix  $(t_1, x_1) \in Q^{(j)}$ . We let (for  $k \geq 0$  large enough so that  $Q^{(j-k)}(t_1, x_1) \subset Q^{(j)}$ )

$$\tilde{v}^{(m)}(t, x) := v^{(m)}(t, x) + \int_t^{t_1} f(s, x_1) ds, \quad (t, x) \in Q^{(j-k)}(t_1, x_1) \quad (3.17)$$

so that  $\tilde{v}^{(m)}$  satisfies the modified transport equation

$$(\partial_t - \Delta + v^{(m-1)} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{v}^{(m)}(t, x) = \tilde{f}(t, x) \quad (3.18)$$

with

$$\tilde{f}(t, x) := f(t, x) - f(t, x_1). \quad (3.19)$$

Note that  $\nabla \tilde{v}^{(m)} = \nabla v^{(m)}$ ,  $\nabla^2 \tilde{v}^{(m)} = \nabla^2 v^{(m)}$ . This introduces the following modifications. First, letting  $\tilde{B}_1^{(j-k)} := \tilde{B}(x_1, M^{(j-k)/2})$ ,

$$\|\tilde{v}^{(m)} - v^{(m)}\|_{\infty, Q^{(j-k)}(t_1, x_1)} \leq \int_{t_1-M^j}^{t_1} ds \|f(s)\|_{\infty, \tilde{B}_1^{(j-k)}} \leq \tilde{K}_0 (\tilde{K}t/m)^{\beta m} \quad (3.20)$$

as follows from (3.11), (3.12). Thus  $\|\tilde{v}^{(m)}\|_{\infty, Q^{(j-k)}(t_1, x_1)} \lesssim \tilde{K}_0 (\tilde{K}t/m)^{\beta m}$  is bounded like  $\|v^{(m)}\|_{\infty, Q^{(j-1)}}$ . Second (see (4.26)),  $\tilde{f}(t, x) - \tilde{f}(t_1, x_1) = f(t, x) - f(t, x_1)$  involves values of  $f$  *only* at time  $t$ . (Eventually this spares us having to bound inductively  $\partial_t v^{(m)}$ ).

We now go through the proof of Proposition 4.6, writing  $\tilde{v}^{(m)}(t_1, x_1)$  as the sum of a series  $\tilde{v}_{k+1}^{(m)}(t_1, x_1) + \sum_{k=k_1+1}^{\infty} (\tilde{v}_{k+1}^{(m)} - \tilde{v}_k^{(m)})(t_1, x_1)$ , and bounding only  $\|\nabla \tilde{v}\|_{\infty} = \|\nabla v\|_{\infty}$  and  $\|\nabla^2 \tilde{v}\|_{\infty} = \|\nabla^2 v\|_{\infty}$ . Instead of (4.27), we get from the maximum principle

$$\sup_{Q_1^{(j-1-k)}} |\tilde{v}_{k+1}^{(m)} - \tilde{v}_k^{(m)}| \lesssim M^{(j-k)(1+\alpha/2)} \left( \int_{t_1-M^{j-1-k}}^{t_1} ds \|f(s)\|_{\alpha, \tilde{B}_1^{(j-1-k)}} + \|u^{(m-1)}\|_{\alpha} \sup_{Q_1^{(j-1-k)}} \|\nabla \tilde{v}^{(m)}\| \right), \quad (3.21)$$

where  $\int_{t_1-M^{j-1-k}}^t (\cdot) ds := M^{-(j-1-k)} \int_{t_1-M^{j-1-k}}^{t_1} (\cdot) ds$  is the average over the time interval  $[t_1 - M^{j-1-k}, t_1]$ . We have proved above that  $\|f(s)\|_{\alpha, \tilde{B}_1^{(j)}} \lesssim c^{-1} \tilde{K}^{(3+\alpha)/2} (\tilde{K}s/(m-1))^{\beta(m-1)}$ ; thus (by explicit computation)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_1-M^{j-1-k}}^{t_1} ds \|f(s)\|_{\alpha, \tilde{B}_1^{(j-1-k)}} &\lesssim c^{-1} \tilde{K}^{(3+\alpha)/2} \int_{t_1-M^{j-1-k}}^t ds (\tilde{K}s/(m-1))^{\beta(m-1)} \\ &\equiv c^{-1} \tilde{K}^{(3+\alpha)/2} (\tilde{K}t/(m-1))^{\beta(m-1)} a_k, \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

with  $a_k := M^{k-j-\beta(m-1)} \frac{1}{\beta(m-1)+1} (t^{\beta(m-1)+1} - (t - M^{j-1-k})^{\beta(m-1)+1})$ . Let  $k_0 := \inf\{k \geq 0; M^{j-1-k} < t/m\}$ ; since  $M^{j-1} \gtrsim t/m$  by hypothesis,  $M^{j-1-k_0} \approx t/m$ . For  $k > k_0$ ,  $a_k \approx 1$ , as follows from Taylor's formula; bounding all  $a_k, k \geq 0$  by 1 would yield the estimate (3.16). However, for  $k \leq k_0$ ,  $a_k \lesssim M^{k-j} \frac{t}{m}$ , which is a much better bound for  $k_0 - k$  large. Summarizing, the only change in the right-hand side of (4.34) is that  $\|f\|_{\alpha}$  may be replaced by

$$\sum_k M^{-k\alpha/2} \int_{t_1-M^{j-1-k}}^{t_1} ds \|f(s)\|_{\alpha, \tilde{B}_1^{(j-1-k)}} \lesssim c^{-1} \tilde{K}^{(3+\alpha)/2} (\tilde{K}t/(m-1))^{\beta(m-1)} (A_1 + A_2), \quad (3.23)$$

where

$$A_1 := \sum_{k \geq k_0} M^{-k\alpha/2} \lesssim (\tilde{K}t/m)^{\alpha/2} \quad (3.24)$$

and similarly

$$A_2 := \sum_{k=0}^{k_0-1} M^{-k\alpha/2} M^{k-j} \frac{t}{m} \lesssim M^{k_0(1-\alpha/2)} (\tilde{K}t/m) \approx (\tilde{K}t/m)^{\alpha/2}. \quad (3.25)$$

All together, with respect to the rougher bound (3.16), we have gained a small multiplicative factor of order  $A_1 + A_2 \lesssim (\tilde{K}t/m)^{\beta}$ , with  $\beta := \alpha/2$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla v^{(m)}\|_{\infty, Q^{(j-1)}} &\lesssim c^{-1} \tilde{K} (\tilde{K}t/(m-1))^{\beta(m-1)} \cdot (\tilde{K}t/m)^{\beta} + c^{-1} \tilde{K} (\tilde{K}t/m)^{\beta m} \\ &\lesssim c^{-1} \tilde{K} (\tilde{K}t/m)^{\beta m}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

□

## 4 Hölder estimates

We prove in this section elementary Hölder estimates, together with a precise form of the Schauder estimates which is crucial in the proof of Theorem 1.1 in section 3.

**Definition 4.1 (Hölder semi-norms)** Let  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ .

1.  $f_0 : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\gamma$ -Hölder continuous if  $\|f_0\|_\gamma := \sup_{x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|f_0(x) - f_0(x')|}{|x - x'|^\gamma} < \infty$ .
2.  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\gamma$ -Hölder continuous if  $\|f\|_\gamma := \sup_{(t, x), (t', x') \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|f(t, x) - f(t', x')|}{|x - x'|^\gamma + |t - t'|^{\gamma/2}} < \infty$ .

In the denominator appearing in the definition of  $\|f\|_\gamma$ , we find a power of the *parabolic distance*,  $d_{par}((t, x), (t', x')) = |x - x'| + \sqrt{|t - t'|}$ . Note that  $\|\cdot\|_\gamma$  is only a semi-norm since  $\|1\|_\gamma = 0$ . We also define Hölder semi-norms for functions restricted to  $Q_0 \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$  or  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  compact, with the obvious definitions,

$$\|f_0\|_{\gamma, Q_0} := \sup_{x, x' \in Q_0} \frac{|f_0(x) - f_0(x')|}{|x - x'|^\gamma}, \quad \|f\|_{\gamma, Q} := \sup_{(t, x), (t', x') \in Q} \frac{|f(t, x) - f(t', x')|}{|x - x'|^\gamma + |t - t'|^{\gamma/2}}. \quad (4.1)$$

*Remark.* For  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we use in this article either the parabolic Hölder semi-norm  $\|f\|_{\alpha, Q}$  or the isotropic Hölder semi-norm  $\|f(t)\|_{\alpha, Q_0}$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$  fixed. The distinction is really important in the proof of Theorem 3.2 (ii). Clearly,  $\|f(t)\|_{\alpha, Q_0} \leq \|f\|_{\alpha, I \times Q_0}$  if  $I$  is some time interval containing  $t$ .

**Lemma 4.2 (Hölder interpolation estimates)** 1. (on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ) Let  $Q_0 \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a convex set, and  $u_0 : Q_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\|u_0\|_{\infty, Q_0}, \|\nabla u_0\|_{\infty, Q_0} < \infty$ . Then

$$\|u_0\|_{\alpha, Q_0} \leq \|u_0\|_{\infty, Q_0}^{1-\alpha} \|\nabla u_0\|_{\infty, Q_0}^\alpha, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1). \quad (4.2)$$

2. (on  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$ ) Let  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$  be a convex set, and  $u : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\|u\|_{\infty, Q}, \|\nabla u_0\|_{\infty, Q}, \|\partial_t u_0\|_{\infty, Q} < \infty$ . Then

$$\|u\|_{\alpha, Q} \leq 2 \left( \|u\|_{\infty, Q}^{1-\alpha} \|\nabla u\|_{\infty, Q}^\alpha + \|u\|_{\infty, Q}^{1-\alpha/2} \|\partial_t u\|_{\infty, Q}^{\alpha/2} \right), \quad \alpha \in (0, 1). \quad (4.3)$$

**Proof.** (see [9]) we prove (ii). Let  $X = (t, x)$  and  $X' = (t', x')$  in  $Q$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |u(X) - u(X')| &= \left| \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\tau} u((1-\tau)X + \tau X') d\tau \right| \\ &\leq |t - t'| \|\partial_t u\|_{\infty, Q} + |x - x'| \|\nabla u\|_{\infty, Q} \leq 2 \max(|t - t'| \|\partial_t u\|_{\infty, Q}, |x - x'| \|\nabla u\|_{\infty, Q}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

On the other hand,  $|u(X) - u(X')| \leq 2\|u\|_{\infty}$ . Hence

$$|u(X) - u(X')| \leq 2 \max \left( \|u\|_{\infty, Q}^{1-\alpha/2} \|\partial_t u\|_{\infty, Q}^{\alpha/2}, \|u\|_{\infty, Q}^{1-\alpha} \|\nabla u\|_{\infty, Q}^\alpha \right). \quad (4.5)$$

□

**Lemma 4.3** Let  $u_0 : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $\alpha$ -Hölder. Then

$$\|\nabla^\kappa(e^{t\Delta}u_0)\|_\infty \leq C(d, \kappa, \alpha)t^{(\alpha-\kappa)/2} \|u_0\|_\alpha \quad (\kappa \geq 1); \quad (4.6)$$

$$\|\nabla^2(e^{t\Delta}u_0)\|_\gamma \leq C'(d, \gamma, \alpha)t^{-1+(\alpha-\gamma)/2} \|u_0\|_\alpha \quad (\gamma \in (0, 1)); \quad (4.7)$$

$$\|e^{t\Delta}u_0 - e^{t'\Delta}u_0\|_\infty \leq C''(d, \alpha)(t - t')^{\alpha/2} \|u_0\|_\alpha \quad (\alpha \in (0, 1), t > t' > 0). \quad (4.8)$$

**Proof.** (4.7) follows by Lemma 4.2 from the bounds (4.6) with  $\kappa = 2, 3$ . Thus let us first prove (4.6). The regularizing operator  $e^{t\Delta}$  is defined by convolution with respect to the heat kernel  $p_t$ . By translation invariance, it is enough to bound the quantity  $I(\varepsilon) := \nabla^{\kappa-1}(e^{t\Delta}u_0)(0) - \nabla^{\kappa-1}(e^{t\Delta}u_0)(\varepsilon)$  in the limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . The quantities in (4.6) are invariant through the substitution  $u_0 \rightarrow u_0 - u_0(0)$ , so we assume that  $u_0(0) = 0$ . We may also assume  $|\varepsilon| \ll \sqrt{t}$ . Let  $A := \varepsilon^\beta t^{(1-\beta)/2}$  with  $\beta = (1 - \alpha)/d$ ; note that  $|\varepsilon| \ll A \ll \sqrt{t}$ . We split the integral into three parts,  $I(\varepsilon) = I_1(\varepsilon) + I_2(\varepsilon) + I_3(\varepsilon)$ , with

$$I_1(\varepsilon) := \int_{|x|<A} dx \nabla^{\kappa-1} p_t(x)(u_0(x) - u_0(x+\varepsilon)), \quad I_2(\varepsilon) := \int_{|x|>A} dx (\nabla^{\kappa-1} p_t(x) - \nabla^{\kappa-1} p_t(x+\varepsilon))(u_0(x) - u_0(0)) \quad (4.9)$$

$$I_3(\varepsilon) = \left( \int_{|x|>A} dx - \int_{|x-\varepsilon|>A} dx \right) \nabla^{\kappa-1} p_t(x+\varepsilon)(u_0(x) - u_0(0)). \quad (4.10)$$

We use  $|u_0(x) - u_0(x+\varepsilon)| \leq \|u_0\|_\alpha |\varepsilon|^\alpha$  in the first integral, and get

$$I_1(\varepsilon) \lesssim \|u_0\|_\alpha A^d t^{-(\kappa+d-1)/2} |\varepsilon|^\alpha = \|u_0\|_\alpha t^{(\alpha-\kappa)/2} |\varepsilon|. \quad (4.11)$$

For the second integral, we use  $|\nabla^{\kappa-1} p_t(x) - \nabla^{\kappa-1} p_t(x+\varepsilon)| \lesssim \frac{|\varepsilon|}{t^{\kappa/2}} p_t(x)$  and  $|u_0(x) - u_0(0)| \leq \|u_0\|_\alpha |x|^\alpha$ , yielding the same estimate. Finally, the integration volume in the third integral is  $O(A^{d-1}|\varepsilon|)$ , hence  $I_3(\varepsilon) \lesssim \|u_0\|_\alpha A^{d-1} |\varepsilon| t^{-(\kappa-1)/2} A^\alpha \lesssim \|u_0\|_\alpha A^d t^{-(\kappa+d-1)/2} |\varepsilon|^\alpha \cdot (|\varepsilon|/A)^{1-\alpha}$  is negligible with respect to the first integral (compare with (4.11)). Taking  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , this gives the desired bound for  $\|\nabla^\kappa(e^{t\Delta}u_0)\|_\infty$ .

Finally, (4.8) may be obtained through the use of the fractional derivative

$$|\nabla|^\alpha : u_0 \mapsto \left( |\nabla|^\alpha u_0 : x \mapsto \int d\xi dy |\xi|^\alpha e^{i(x-y)\xi} u_0(y) \right),$$

namely,

$$\begin{aligned} |(e^{t\Delta}u_0 - e^{t'\Delta}u_0)(x)| &= \left| \int_{t'}^t ds \int dy \partial_s p_s(x-y) u_0(y) \right| = \left| \int_{t'}^t ds \int dy \Delta p_s(x-y) u_0(y) \right| \\ &\lesssim \int_{t'}^t ds \int dy |\nabla|^{2-\alpha/2} p_s(x-y) |\nabla|^\alpha u_0(y) \lesssim (t^{\alpha/2} - (t')^{\alpha/2}) \|u_0\|_\alpha \\ &\lesssim (t - t')^{\alpha/2} \|u_0\|_\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

□

**Corollary 4.4** Let  $g : [0, t] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function such that  $(g_s)_{s \in [0, t]}$  are uniformly  $\alpha$ -Hölder, and  $\gamma < \alpha$ . Then  $s \mapsto \|\nabla^2(e^{(t-s)\Delta}g_s)\|_\gamma$  is  $L_{loc}^1$  and, for  $0 < t' < t$ ,

$$\int_{t'}^t ds \|\nabla^2(e^{(t-s)\Delta}g_s)\|_\gamma \leq C''(d, \gamma, \alpha)(t - t')^{(\alpha-\gamma)/2} \sup_{s \in [t', t]} \|g_s\|_\alpha. \quad (4.13)$$



We now turn to our Schauder estimates. The multi-scale proof of the Proposition below is inspired by Wang [12]. We fix a constant  $M > 1$ , e.g.  $M = 2$  for a dyadic scale decomposition.

**Definition 4.5 (parabolic balls)** *Let  $(t_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then the scale  $j$  parabolic ball issued from  $(t_0, x_0)$  is the closed subset  $Q^{(j)}(t_0, x_0) := \{(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d; t_0 - M^j \leq t \leq t_0, x \in \bar{B}(x_0, M^{j/2})\}$ .*

The set  $\{(t, x) \mid t \leq t_0, d_{\text{par}}((t, x), (t_0, x_0)) \leq M^{j/2}\}$  is comparable to  $Q^{(j)}(t_0, x_0)$ , in the sense that there exist  $\delta k_0, \delta k_1 \geq 0$  such that  $Q^{(j)}(t_0, x_0) \subset \{(t, x) \mid t \leq t_0, d_{\text{par}}((t, x), (t_0, x_0)) \leq M^{(j+\delta k_0)/2}\} \subset Q^{(j+\delta k_0+\delta k_1)/2}(t_0, x_0)$  (one may actually choose  $\delta k_1 = 0$ ), which is why  $Q^{(j)}(t_0, x_0)$  is called a 'ball'; but mind the causality condition  $t \leq t_0$ . In the sequel we let  $\delta k = \delta k(M)$  be some large enough integer, depending only on  $M$ , used in several occasions to make different parabolic balls fit exactly into each other. The main property of parabolic balls in our context is the simple scaling property for locally bounded solutions  $u$  of the heat equation  $(\partial_t - \Delta)u = 0$ : for all  $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_d)$ ,  $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_d \geq 0$ ,  $|\nabla^\kappa u(t_0, x_0)| \lesssim (M^{-j/2})^{|\kappa|} \sup_{\partial_{\text{par}} Q^{(j)}(t_0, x_0)} |u|$  ( $|\kappa| = \kappa_1 + \dots + \kappa_d$ ), where  $\partial_{\text{par}} Q^{(j)}(t_0, x_0) := (\{t_0 - M^j\} \times \bar{B}(x_0, M^{j/2})) \cup ([t_0 - M^j, t_0) \times \partial B(x_0, M^{j/2}))$  is the *parabolic boundary* of  $Q^{(j)}(t_0, x_0)$ . From this we simply deduce the following: let

$$Q_{(k)}^{(j)}(t_0, x_0) := \{(t, x) \in Q^{(j)}(t_0, x_0) \mid d_{\text{par}}((t, x), \partial_{\text{par}} Q^{(j)}(t_0, x_0)) \geq M^k\} \quad (k \leq j), \quad (4.14)$$

then  $\sup_{Q_{(k)}^{(j)}(t_0, x_0)} |\nabla^\kappa u| \lesssim (M^{-k/2})^{|\kappa|} \sup_{Q^{(j)}(t_0, x_0)} |u|$ , which is a quantitative version of the well-known regularizing property of the heat equation: if  $u$  is bounded on some  $j$  scale parabolic ball  $Q^{(j)}$ , then  $\nabla^\kappa u$  is bounded away from the parabolic boundary of  $Q^{(j)}$ . In particular, since  $Q^{(j-1)}(t_0, x_0) \subset Q_{(j-\delta k)}^{(j)}(t_0, x_0)$ , one has:  $\sup_{Q^{(j-1)}(t_0, x_0)} |\nabla^\kappa u| \lesssim (M^{-j/2})^{|\kappa|} \sup_{Q^{(j)}(t_0, x_0)} |u|$ .

**Proposition 4.6 (Schauder estimates)** *Let  $v$  solve the linear parabolic PDE*

$$(\partial_t - \Delta + a(t, x))u(t, x) = b(t, x) \cdot \nabla u(t, x) + f(t, x) \quad (4.15)$$

*on the parabolic ball  $Q^{(j)} := Q^{(j)}(t_0, x_0)$ . Assume:  $u$  is bounded;  $a \geq 0$ ;*

$$\|f\|_\alpha := \|f\|_{\alpha, Q^{(j)}} := \sup_{(t, x), (t', x') \in Q^{(j)}} \frac{|f(t, x) - f(t', x')|}{|x - x'|^\alpha + |t - t'|^{\alpha/2}} < \infty \quad (4.16)$$

*for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , and similarly  $\|a\|_\alpha, \|b\|_\alpha < \infty$ . Then*

$$\sup_{Q^{(j-1)}} |\nabla u| \lesssim M^{j/2} R_b^{-1} \left\{ M^{j\alpha/2} \|f\|_\alpha + \left( M^{j\alpha} R_b^{-1} \|b\|_\alpha^2 + M^{j\alpha/2} \|a\|_\alpha + M^{-j} \right) \sup_{Q^{(j)}} |u| \right\}, \quad (4.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u\|_{\alpha, Q^{(j-1)}} &\lesssim M^{-j\alpha/2} R_b^{-(1+\alpha)/2} \left\{ M^{j(1+\alpha)/2} \|f\|_\alpha \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( M^{j(1+\alpha+\alpha^2)/2} R_b^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+\alpha)/\alpha} \|b\|_\alpha^{(1+\alpha)/\alpha} + M^{j(1+\alpha)/2} \|a\|_\alpha + M^{-j/2} \right) \sup_{Q^{(j)}} |u| \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

$$\sup_{Q^{(j-1)}} |\partial_t u|, \sup_{Q^{(j-1)}} |\nabla^2 u| \lesssim R_b^{-1} \left\{ M^{j\alpha/2} \|f\|_\alpha + \left( M^{j\alpha} R_b^{-1} \|b\|_\alpha^2 + M^{j\alpha/2} \|a\|_\alpha + M^{-j} \right) \sup_{Q^{(j)}} |u| \right\}, \quad (4.19)$$

and for every  $\alpha' > \alpha$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t u\|_{\alpha, Q^{(j-1)}}, \|\nabla^2 u\|_{\alpha, Q^{(j-1)}} &\lesssim M^{-j\alpha/2} R_b^{-(1+\alpha'/2)} \left\{ M^{j\alpha/2} \|f\|_{\alpha} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( M^{j\alpha/2} R_b^{-\frac{1}{2}(2+\alpha')/(1+\alpha)} \|b\|_{\alpha}^{(2+\alpha)/(1+\alpha)} + M^{j\alpha/2} \|a\|_{\alpha} + M^{-j} \right) \sup_{Q^{(j)}} |u| \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

where  $R_b := (1 + M^{j/2} |b(t_0, x_0)|)^{-1}$ .

*Remark:* Removing the condition  $a \geq 0$ , we would get the same estimates, multiplied by  $e^{M^j \sup_{Q^{(j)}} (-a)}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\tilde{u}(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x}) := u(M^j \tilde{t}, M^{j/2} \tilde{x})$ ,  $\tilde{b}(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x}) := M^{j/2} b(M^j \tilde{t}, M^{j/2} \tilde{x})$ ,  $\tilde{f}(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x}) := M^j f(M^j \tilde{t}, M^{j/2} \tilde{x})$ ,  $\tilde{a}(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x}) := M^j a(M^j \tilde{t}, M^{j/2} \tilde{x})$ . Then the PDE  $(\partial_t - \Delta + a)u = b \cdot \nabla u + f$  on  $Q^{(j)}$  reduces to an equivalent PDE,  $(\partial_{\tilde{t}} - \tilde{\Delta} + \tilde{a})\tilde{u} = \tilde{b} \cdot \tilde{\nabla} \tilde{u} + \tilde{f}$  on a parabolic ball  $\tilde{Q}$  of size unity. Assume (leaving out for sake of conciseness the powers of  $R_b = (1 + |\tilde{b}(t_0, x_0)|)^{-1}$ ) that we have proved an inequality of the type

$$\sup_{\tilde{Q}^{(-1)}} |\tilde{\nabla}^{\kappa} \tilde{u}| \lesssim \left( \|\tilde{f}\|_{\alpha} + (\|\tilde{b}\|_{\alpha}^{\beta} + \|\tilde{a}\|_{\alpha} + 1) \sup_{\tilde{Q}} |\tilde{u}| \right), \quad \text{resp.} \quad (4.21)$$

$$\|\tilde{\nabla}^{\kappa} \tilde{u}\|_{\alpha, \tilde{Q}^{(-1)}} \lesssim \left( \|\tilde{f}\|_{\alpha} + (\|\tilde{b}\|_{\alpha}^{\beta} + \|\tilde{a}\|_{\alpha} + 1) \sup_{\tilde{Q}} |\tilde{u}| \right). \quad (4.22)$$

By rescaling, we get

$$\sup_{Q^{(j-1)}} |\nabla^{\kappa} u| \lesssim (M^{-j/2})^{\kappa} \left( M^{j(1+\alpha/2)} \|f\|_{\alpha} + ((M^{j/2})^{1+\alpha} \|b\|_{\alpha}^{\beta} + (M^j)^{1+\alpha/2} \|a\|_{\alpha} + 1) \sup_{Q^{(j)}} |u| \right), \quad (4.23)$$

$$\|\tilde{\nabla}^{\kappa} u\|_{\alpha, Q^{(j-1)}} \lesssim (M^{-j/2})^{\kappa+\alpha} \left( M^{j(1+\alpha/2)} \|f\|_{\alpha} + ((M^{j/2})^{1+\alpha} \|b\|_{\alpha}^{\beta} + (M^j)^{1+\alpha/2} \|a\|_{\alpha} + 1) \sup_{Q^{(j)}} |u| \right). \quad (4.24)$$

This gives the correct scaling factors in (4.17, 4.18, 4.19, 4.20). Thus we may assume that  $j = 0$ . In the sequel we write for short  $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}$  instead of  $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha, Q^{(0)}}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  instead of  $\sup_{Q^{(0)}} |\cdot|$ .

The general principle underlying the proof of the Schauder estimates in [12] is the following. Let  $(t_1, x_1) \in Q_{(-k_1)}^{(0)}$ . One rewrites  $u(t_1, x_1)$  as the sum of the series  $u(t_1, x_1) = u_{k_1+1}(t_1, x_1) + \sum_{k=k_1+1}^{+\infty} (u_{k+1}(t_1, x_1) - u_k(t_1, x_1))$ , where  $u_k$ ,  $k \geq k_1 + 1$  is the solution on  $Q_1^{(-k)} := Q^{(-k)}(t_1, x_1)$  of the 'frozen' PDE

$$(\partial_t - \Delta + a(t_1, x_1))u_k(t, x) = b(t_1, x_1) \cdot \nabla u_k(t, x) + f(t_1, x_1) \quad (4.25)$$

with initial-boundary condition  $u_k|_{\partial_{\text{par}} Q_1^{(-k)}} = u|_{\partial_{\text{par}} Q_1^{(-k)}}$ . We split the proof into several steps.

- (i) (estimates for  $|u_{k+1} - u_k|$ ) One first remarks that  $u_k - u$ ,  $k \geq k_1 + 1$  solves on  $Q_1^{(-k)}$  the heat equation

$$(\partial_t - \Delta + a(t_1, x_1) - b(t_1, x_1) \cdot \nabla)(u_k - u) = (b(t_1, x_1) - b) \cdot \nabla u + (f(t_1, x_1) - f) - (a(t_1, x_1) - a)u \quad (4.26)$$

with zero initial-boundary condition  $(u_k - u)|_{\partial_{par} Q_1^{(-k)}} = 0$ , implying by the maximum principle

$$\sup_{Q_1^{(-k-1)}} |u_{k+1} - u_k| \leq \sup_{Q_1^{(-k-1)}} |u_{k+1} - u| + \sup_{Q_1^{(-k)}} |u_k - u| \lesssim M^{-k(1+\alpha/2)} \left( \|f\|_\alpha + \|a\|_\alpha \|u\|_\infty + \|b\|_\alpha \sup_{Q_1^{(-k)}} |\nabla u| \right). \quad (4.27)$$

- (ii) (estimates for higher-order derivatives of  $u_{k+1}$ ) Recall  $u_{k+1}$  is a solution of the heat equation  $(\partial_t - \Delta - b(t_1, x_1) \cdot \nabla)u_{k+1} = f(t_1, x_1)$  with initial-boundary condition  $u_{k+1}|_{\partial_{par} Q_1^{-(k_1+1)}} = u|_{\partial_{par} Q_1^{-(k_1+1)}}$ .

Assume first  $|b(t_1, x_1)| \lesssim 1$ . As follows from standard estimates recalled before the proposition,

$$\|\nabla u_{k+1}\|_{\alpha, Q_1^{-(k_1+2)}} \lesssim (M^{k_1/2})^{1+\alpha} \|u\|_\infty, \quad \sup_{Q_1^{-(k_1+2)}} |\partial_t u_{k+1}|, \quad \sup_{Q_1^{-(k_1+2)}} |\nabla^2 u_{k+1}| \lesssim M^{k_1} \|u\|_\infty, \quad (4.28)$$

$$\|\nabla^2 u_{k+1}\|_{\alpha, Q_1^{-(k_1+2)}} \lesssim (M^{k_1})^{1+\alpha/2} \|u\|_\infty. \quad (4.29)$$

If  $|b(t_0, x_0)| \gg 1$ , then one makes the Galilean transformation  $x \mapsto x - b(t_0, x_0)t$  to get rid of the drift, after which the boundary of  $Q_1^{-(k_1+1)}$  lies at distance  $R = O(M^{-k_1/2}/|b(t_0, x_0)|)$  instead of  $O(M^{-k_1/2})$  of  $(t_1, x_1)$ ; thus, in general,

$$\|\nabla u_{k+1}\|_{\alpha, Q_1^{-(k_1+2)}} \lesssim R_b^{-(1+\alpha)/2} (M^{k_1/2})^{1+\alpha} \|u\|_\infty, \quad \sup_{Q_1^{-(k_1+2)}} |\partial_t u_{k+1}|, \quad \sup_{Q_1^{-(k_1+2)}} |\nabla^2 u_{k+1}| \lesssim R_b^{-1} M^{k_1} \|u\|_\infty, \quad (4.30)$$

$$\|\nabla^2 u_{k+1}\|_{\alpha, Q_1^{-(k_1+2)}} \lesssim R_b^{-(1+\alpha/2)} (M^{k_1})^{1+\alpha/2} \|u\|_\infty. \quad (4.31)$$

- (iii) (estimates for higher-order derivatives of  $u_{k+1} - u_k$ ) Similarly to (ii), we note that  $u_{k+1} - u_k$  is a solution on  $Q_1^{(-k-1)}$  of the heat equation  $(\partial_t - \Delta + a(t_1, x_1) - b(t_1, x_1) \cdot \nabla)(u_{k+1} - u_k) = 0$ . Thus

$$\sup_{Q_1^{(-k-2)}} |\partial_t(u_{k+1} - u_k)|, \quad \sup_{Q_1^{(-k-2)}} |\nabla^2(u_{k+1} - u_k)| \lesssim M^k R_b^{-1} \sup_{Q_1^{(-k-1)}} |u_{k+1} - u_k|, \quad (4.32)$$

$$\|\nabla^2(u_{k+1} - u_k)\|_{\alpha', Q_1^{(-k-2)}} \lesssim (M^k)^{1+\alpha'/2} R_b^{-(1+\alpha'/2)} \sup_{Q_1^{(-k-1)}} |u_{k+1} - u_k| \quad (4.33)$$

is bounded using (i) in terms of  $R_b$ ,  $\|b\|_\alpha$ ,  $\|f\|_\alpha$  and  $\sup_{Q_1^{(-k)}} |\nabla u|$ .

- (iv) (Schauder estimates for higher-order derivatives of  $u$ ) Summing up the estimates in (i), (ii), (iii), and noting that  $\dots \subset Q_1^{(-k_1-2)} \subset Q_1^{(-k_1-1)} \subset Q_1^{(0)}_{(-k_1-\delta k)}$  for  $\delta k = \delta k(M)$  large enough, one obtains

$$M^{-k_1} \sup_{Q_1^{(0)}_{(-k_1)}} |\partial_t u|, M^{-k_1} \sup_{Q_1^{(0)}_{(-k_1)}} |\nabla^2 u| \lesssim R_b^{-1} \left\{ (M^{-k_1})^{1+\alpha/2} \left( \|f\|_\alpha + \|a\|_\alpha \|u\|_\infty + \|b\|_\alpha \sup_{Q_1^{(0)}_{(-k_1-\delta k)}} |\nabla u| \right) + \|u\|_\infty \right\}. \quad (4.34)$$

By interpolation (see immediately thereafter),  $\sup_{Q_{(-k_1-\delta k)}^{(0)}} |\nabla u|$  is bounded in terms of  $\|u\|_\infty$  and  $\sup_{Q_{(-k_1-\delta k)}^{(0)}} |\nabla^2 u|$ . Thus in principle (4.34) gives a bound for  $\nabla^2 u$ . *However*, since  $Q_{(-k_1-\delta k)}^{(0)} \supsetneq Q_{(-k_1)}^{(0)}$ , one *cannot* fix  $k_1$ . Instead we shall bound  $\sup_{k_1} M^{-k_1} \sup_{Q_{(-k_1)}^{(0)}} |\nabla^2 u|$ , and similarly for the different gradient/Hölder norms considered in the Proposition. This explains *why* ultimately we must consider the values of  $\nabla u$ ,  $\nabla^2 u$  on the whole parabolic ball  $Q^{(0)}$ , not only on the subset  $Q^{(-1)}$  where our results are stated.

Now

$$\sup_{Q_{(-k_1-\delta k)}^{(0)}} |\nabla u| \lesssim \left( \sup_{Q_{(-k_1-\delta k)}^{(0)}} |\nabla^2 u| \right)^{1/2} (\|u\|_\infty)^{1/2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \sup_{Q_{(-k_1-\delta k)}^{(0)}} |\nabla^2 u| + \varepsilon^{-2} \|u\|_\infty \quad (4.35)$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Hence (using (4.34)), choosing  $\varepsilon^2 \approx R_b / \|b\|_\alpha$ , one gets

$$\sup_{k_1 \geq 0} M^{-k_1} \sup_{Q_{(-k_1)}^{(0)}} |\nabla^2 u| \lesssim R_b^{-1} \left\{ (M^{-k_1})^{1+\alpha/2} \left( \|f\|_\alpha + (\|a\|_\alpha + R_b^{-1} \|b\|_\alpha^2) \|u\|_\infty \right) + \|u\|_\infty \right\}, \quad (4.36)$$

implying in particular the bound (4.19) for  $\nabla^2 u$ , from which (4.35, 4.34) yields the bound (4.19) for  $\partial_t u$ .

Using the estimates (4.19) and (4.35) with  $\varepsilon = 1$  yields also the gradient bound (4.17).

(v) (Schauder estimates for Hölder norms)

Let us now bound  $\|\nabla^2 u\|_{\alpha, Q_{(-k_1-1)}^{(0)}} \approx \sup_{(t_1, x_1), (t_2, x_2) \in Q_{(-k_1-1)}^{(0)}} \frac{|\nabla^2 u(t_2, x_2) - \nabla^2 u(t_1, x_1)|}{d_{par}((t_1, x_1), (t_2, x_2))^\alpha}$  or equivalently  $\|\partial_t u\|_{\alpha, Q_{(-k_1-1)}^{(0)}}$ . Assume e.g.  $t_1 \geq t_2$ , and  $(t_2, x_2) \in Q^{(-k_2)}(t_1, x_1)$ ,  $k_2 \geq k_1 + 1$ , with  $d_{par}((t_1, x_1), (t_2, x_2)) \approx M^{-k_2/2}$ . The hypothesis  $k_2 \geq k_1 + 1$  excludes the case where  $d_{par}((t_1, x_1), (t_2, x_2))$  is comparable to  $M^{-k_1/2}$ , a case which is not needed since it is already covered by the estimates proved in (iv). Then  $|\nabla^2 u(t, x) - \nabla^2 u(t', x')| \leq I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4$ , with (using (4.33) for  $I_1, I_2$  and (4.32) for  $I_3, I_4$ )

$$I_1 = |\nabla^2 u_{k_1}(t_1, x_1) - \nabla^2 u_{k_1}(t_2, x_2)| \lesssim (M^{k_1})^{1+\alpha/2} R_b^{-(1+\alpha/2)} \|u\|_\infty d_{par}(t_1, x_1; t_2, x_2)^\alpha; \quad (4.37)$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \sum_{k=k_1}^{k_2-1} |\nabla^2(u_{k+1} - u_k)(t_1, x_1) - \nabla^2(u_{k+1} - u_k)(t_2, x_2)| \\ &\lesssim R_b^{-(1+\alpha'/2)} d_{par}(t_1, x_1; t_2, x_2)^{\alpha'} \left( \sum_{k=k_1}^{k_2-1} (M^{k/2})^{\alpha'-\alpha} \right) \left( \|f\|_\alpha + \|a\|_\alpha \|u\|_\infty + \|b\|_\alpha \sup_{Q_1^{(-k)}} |\nabla u| \right) \\ &\lesssim d_{par}(t_1, x_1; t_2, x_2)^\alpha R_b^{-(1+\alpha'/2)} \left( \|f\|_\alpha + \|a\|_\alpha \|u\|_\infty + \|b\|_\alpha \sup_{Q_1^{(-k_1)}} |\nabla u| \right); \end{aligned} \quad (4.38)$$

and

$$I_3 := \sum_{k \geq k_2} |\nabla^2(u_{k+1} - u_k)(t_1, x_1)|, \quad I_4 := \sum_{k \geq k_0} |\nabla^2(u_{k+1} - u_k)(t_2, x_2)| \quad (4.39)$$

are

$$\lesssim d_{par}(t_1, x_1; t_2, x_2)^\alpha R_b^{-1} \left( \|f\|_\alpha + \|a\|_\alpha \|u\|_\infty + \|b\|_\alpha \sup_{Q_1^{(-k_2)}} |\nabla u| \right) \quad (4.40)$$

Hence

$$(M^{-k_1})^{1+\alpha/2} \|\partial_t u\|_{\alpha, Q_{-(k_1-1)}^{(0)}}, (M^{-k_1})^{1+\alpha/2} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{\alpha, Q_{-(k_1-1)}^{(0)}} \lesssim R_b^{-(1+\alpha'/2)} \cdot \left\{ (M^{-k_1})^{1+\alpha/2} \left( \|f\|_\alpha + \|b\|_\alpha \sup_{Q_{(-k_1-\delta k)}^{(0)}} |\nabla u| + \|a\|_\alpha \|u\|_\infty \right) + \|u\|_\infty \right\}, \quad (4.41)$$

compare with (4.34).

By standard Hölder interpolation inequalities [9],

$$\sup_{Q_{(-k_1-\delta k)}^{(0)}} |\nabla u| \lesssim \|\nabla^2 u\|_{\alpha, Q_{(-k_1-\delta k)}^{(0)}}^{1/(2+\alpha)} \left( \sup_{Q_{(-k_1-\delta k)}^{(0)}} |u| \right)^{(1+\alpha)/(2+\alpha)} \lesssim \varepsilon^{2+\alpha} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{\alpha, Q_{(-k_1-\delta k)}^{(0)}} + \varepsilon^{-(2+\alpha)/(1+\alpha)} \|u\|_\infty \quad (4.42)$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choosing  $\varepsilon^{2+\alpha} \approx R_b^{1+\alpha'/2} / \|b\|_\alpha$  yields as in (iv) a bound for  $\sup_{k_1 \geq 0} (M^{-k_1})^{1+\alpha/2} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{\alpha, Q_{-(k_1-1)}^{(0)}}$ , from which one deduces in particular (4.20).

In order to obtain the bound (4.18) for  $\|\nabla u\|_{\alpha, Q^{(-1)}}$ , we proceed initially in the same way, with the only difference that one may take  $\alpha' = \alpha$  in (4.38) since one gets a series  $\sum_{k=k_1}^{k_2-1} M^{-k/2}$  of order  $O(1)$ . Thus (4.41) becomes

$$(M^{-k_1/2})^{1+\alpha} \|\nabla u\|_{\alpha, Q_{-(k_1-1)}^{(0)}} \lesssim R_b^{-(1+\alpha)/2} \left\{ (M^{-k_1/2})^{1+\alpha} \left( \|f\|_\alpha + \|b\|_\alpha \sup_{Q_{(-k_1-\delta k)}^{(0)}} |\nabla u| + \|a\|_\alpha \|u\|_\infty \right) + \|u\|_\infty \right\}. \quad (4.43)$$

One now uses Hölder interpolation inequalities to bound  $\nabla u$  in terms of  $\|u\|_\infty$  and  $\nabla^2 u$ . Instead of (4.44), one has here

$$\sup_{Q_{(-k_1-\delta k)}^{(0)}} |\nabla u| \lesssim \|\nabla^2 u\|_{\alpha, Q_{(-k_1-\delta k)}^{(0)}}^{1/(1+\alpha)} \left( \sup_{Q_{(-k_1-\delta k)}^{(0)}} |u| \right)^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)} \lesssim \varepsilon^{1+\alpha} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{\alpha, Q_{(-k_1-\delta k)}^{(0)}} + \varepsilon^{-(1+\alpha)/\alpha} \|u\|_\infty \quad (4.44)$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choosing  $\varepsilon^{1+\alpha} \approx R_b^{(1+\alpha)/2} / \|b\|_\alpha$  yields as in (iv) a bound for  $\sup_{k_1 \geq 0} (M^{-k_1})^{(1+\alpha)/2} \|\nabla u\|_{\alpha, Q_{-(k_1-1)}^{(0)}}$ , from which one deduces in particular (4.18).

□

## References

- [1] J. Bec, K. Khanin. *Burgers turbulence*, Phys. Rep. **447**, 1–66 (2007).
- [2] A. J. Majda, A. L. Bertozzi. *Vorticity and incompressible flow*, Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics, Cambridge University Press (2002).

- [3] G. Da Prato, A. Debussche, R. Temam. *Stochastic Burgers equation*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **1** (4), 389–402 (1994).
- [4] A. Dermoune. *Around the stochastic Burgers equation*, Stoch. Anal. Appl. **15** (3), 295–311 (1997).
- [5] Weinan E, K. Khanin, A. Mazel, Y. Sinai. *Invariant measures for Burgers equation with stochastic forcing*, Annals of Mathematics **151**, 877-960 (2000).
- [6] A. Friedman. *Partial differential equations of parabolic type*, Prentice-Hall (1964).
- [7] C. Kipnis, C. Landim. *Scaling limits of interacting particle systems*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 320, Springer-Verlag (1999).
- [8] A. A. Kiselev, O. A. Ladyzhenskaja. *On the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the nonstationary problem for a viscous, incompressible fluid* (in Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat. 21, 655-680 (1957).
- [9] G. M. Lieberman. *Second order parabolic differential equations*, World Scientific (1996).
- [10] H. Spohn. *Large scale dynamics of interacting particles*, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer-Verlag (1991).
- [11] M. Taylor. *Partial differential equations. III Nonlinear equations*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 117, Springer (2011).
- [12] X.-J. Wang. *Schauder estimates for elliptic and parabolic equations*, Chin. Ann. Math. **27B(6)**, 637-642 (2006).